

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/677,976	10/02/2000	Michael E. Kafrissen	ORT-1316	7964
7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Philip S Johnson Esq			CHOI, FRANK I	
Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/677,976	KAFRISSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frank I. Choi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA'	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	March 2005.				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ight phoney under do d.o.d. 3	. ro(u) (u) o. (v).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p					
application from the International Bur		oodivod iii iiio i talloridi Olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.			
	2 3. 2.2 23.2.2 34.40				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050609			

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for reducing the risk of cervical dysplasia or cervical carcinoma, does not reasonably provide enablement for treatment or prevention of the same. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The nature of the invention:

The invention is directed to a method of treating or preventing cervical dysplasia or carcinoma by administrating a pharmaceutical composition comprising an oral contraceptive for preventing pregnancy and folic acid for treating or preventing cervical dysplasia or carcinoma, wherein the subject is at higher risk of the same, the dysplasia or carcinoma is result of folic acid deficiency and is treatable or preventable by folic acid administration.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art:

The prior art of record is contradictory. However, at least one study has indicated that while folate deficiency may be involved as a cocarcinogen during the initiation of cervical dysplasia, folic acid supplements do not alter to course of established disease.

See Butterworth et al., American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Vol. 166, No. 3, pp. 803-809 (1992). As such, predictability in the art appears to be low.

Art Unit: 1616

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples:

Although the Specification provides dosages, there is no showing or examples that combining folic acid with the contraceptive in a pharmaceutical dosage treats or prevents cervical carcinoma or dysplasia. In fact, the Specification indicates that folic acid has no therapeutic effect against cervical dysplasia (Specification, Pg. 4).

The breadth of the claims and the quantity of experimentation needed:

The breadth of the claims is broad in scope as the invention is directed to both treatment and prevention of cervical dysplasia or carcinoma. As such, in light of the above, one of ordinary skill in the art would be required to do undue experimentation in order to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims, i.e. to determine whether the combination of folic acid with the contraceptive will treat or prevent cervical dysplasia or carcinoma.

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive.

Applicant indicates that the claims have been amended to overcome the rejection, however, subparagraph (iii) still recites "treatable or preventable" in relation to cervical dysplasia and cervical carcinoma. As such, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schubring (Abstract) in view of Bamji et al. (Abstract), US Pat. 5,254,572 (Serfontein), Bielenberg (Abstract), Harper et al. (Abstract), Check (Medical News) and Drug Facts and Comparisons (1994).

Schubring discloses the combination of oral contraceptives with pyridoxine and folic acid.

Bamji et al. discloses that in view of the high prevalence of vitamin deficiency, including folic acid deficiency and vitamin B6, the delivery system for oral contraceptive can be effectively used for giving vitamin supplements as well (Abstract).

Serfontain discloses the use of oral contraceptives can result in vitamin B6 deficiency and that the vitamin B6 can be supplemented by the combination of vitamin B6 and oral contraceptive in a single dosage form (Column 19, Column 20, lines 1-40).

Bielenberg discloses that oral contraceptives can induce folic acid and vitamin B deficiency (Abstract).

Harper et al. disclose that folate depletion is a risk factor for cervical dysplasia (Abstract).

Check (Medical News) discloses that folic acid supplementation may help reduce the risk of cervical cancer in women taking combination oral contraceptive agents (Pg. 633).

Drug Facts and Comparisons discloses that the recommended daily allowance for adults is 0.4 mg (Pg. 232).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose a method for reducing the risk of cervical dysplasia or carcinoma by administering in a single dosage form the combination of oral contraceptive and folic acid.

Art Unit: 1616

However, the prior art amply suggests the same in that the prior art discloses the oral contraceptives can be combined with folic acid and pyridoxine, that oral contraceptives cause folic acid and pyridoxine depletion, that Vitamin B6 can be combined with oral contraceptives in a single dosage form and that folic acid supplementation can reduce the risk of cervical dysplasia or carcinoma in women taking oral contraceptives. As such, it would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art to combine folic acid and oral contraceptives in a single dosage form and administer said dosage form with the expectation that the folic acid contained therein would reduce the risk of cervical dysplasia or carcinoma.

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive in light of the new grounds of rejection above.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Gary Kunz, can be reached at 571-272-0887. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FIC June 10, 2005

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600